

Figure 6. The effect of the number of iterations (n) on the accuracy of the proposed algorithm. The figure shows two plots side-by-side. The left plot shows the error rate (Y-axis, ranging from 0.0 to 0.8) versus the number of iterations (n , X-axis, ranging from 0 to 10). The right plot shows the error rate (Y-axis, ranging from 0.0 to 0.8) versus the number of iterations (n , X-axis, ranging from 0 to 10).

[illegible]

Figure 6. The effect of the number of iterations (n) on the accuracy of the proposed algorithm. The figure shows two plots side-by-side. The left plot shows the error rate (Y-axis, ranging from 0.0 to 0.8) versus the number of iterations (n , X-axis, ranging from 0 to 10). The right plot shows the error rate (Y-axis, ranging from 0.0 to 0.8) versus the number of iterations (n , X-axis, ranging from 0 to 10).

Figure 6. The effect of the number of iterations (n) on the accuracy of the proposed algorithm. The figure shows two plots side-by-side. The left plot shows the error rate (Y-axis, ranging from 0.0 to 0.8) versus the number of iterations (n , X-axis, ranging from 0 to 10). The right plot shows the error rate (Y-axis, ranging from 0.0 to 0.8) versus the number of iterations (n , X-axis, ranging from 0 to 10).

[illegible][illegible]

Figure 6. The effect of the number of iterations (n) on the accuracy of the proposed algorithm. The figure shows two plots side-by-side. The left plot shows the error rate (Y-axis, ranging from 0.0 to 0.8) versus the number of iterations (n , X-axis, ranging from 0 to 10). The right plot shows the error rate (Y-axis, ranging from 0.0 to 0.8) versus the number of iterations (n , X-axis, ranging from 0 to 10).